

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,820	09/0	08/2003	Ashok V. Joshi	MIC-031103	1133	
55162	7590 ·	06/29/2006		EXAMINER		
CERAMAT 2425 SOUTH	•	EREZO, DARWIN P				
SALT LAKE			·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			3731		

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cummons	10/657,820	JOSHI, ASHOK V.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Darwin P. Erezo	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2005.						
,							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16,24,25,30-33,37,38,42-54,56-59 and 64</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 17-23, 26-29, 34-36, 40, 41, 55 and 60-63 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	a-(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	A) [] Internition ()	(PTO 413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 17-23, 26, 34-36, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,167,613 to Karami et al.

(claims 17 and 34) Karami teaches a disposable wound-therapy device comprising a fluid-impermeable housing 32 having a cavity (Fig. 5; the portion of the housing that includes or encompasses sponge 40), wherein the cavity includes at least one opening to encompass a wound and a chamber for receiving a fluid (the portion of the housing that includes or encompasses osmotic cell 38); a perimeter (outer edge of wall 32) surrounding said opening; adhesive means 34 capable of sealing the perimeter to a surface of the patient; a porous sponge 40 associated with the cavity (col. 6, line 11 and col. 6, lines 46-47; foam is a sponge) and an osmotic cell 38 for removing fluid from the sponge and deliver to the portion of the housing that encompasses the osmotic cell (the chamber).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,820

Art Unit: 3731

It is noted that upon further consideration of the claims, the Examiner has deemed that limitations of the "chamber" or "retention chamber" do not recite any structural elements. The claims merely recite a housing having a cavity and a chamber. However, according to the Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary (www.m-w.com), cavity is merely defined as "an unfilled space within a mass", while a chamber is defined as "a natural or artificial enclosed space or cavity". Therefore, any portion of the housing can be arbitrarily viewed as the cavity while the remaining portion of the housing can be called the chamber. The applicant is suggested to provide better structural relationship between the housing and its cavity and the chamber (such as what is recited in claim 39).

(claims 18 and 36) Karami teaches the osmotic cell to be integrated within the housing.

(claim 19 and 21) Karami teaches an antimicrobial agent (col. 7, lines 17-24) in the absorbent pad/sponge.

(claim 20) Karami teaches a sponge that is fully capable of being partially impregnated with fluid at any time frame.

(claim 22) Karami teaches a chamber that can be viewed as being adjacent the cavity.

(claim 23) Karami teaches the sponge to be within the cavity.

(claim 26) Karami teaches the osmotic layer **38** to be in fluid communication with layer **40**.

Art Unit: 3731

(claim 35) Karami teaches a device that is fully capable of continuously removing fluid from within the wound region if the suction source is continuously maintained.

(claim 40 and 41) Karami teaches a porous fabric 38 located in the chamber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 27-29, 55 and 60-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karami et al. in view of US 2003/0050594 to Zamierowski.

Karami teaches a general osmotic type layer but is silent with regards to an electro-osmotic type removal means. However, Zamierowski teaches an electro-osmotic type removal means (starting at paragraph 90), which would inherently have an anode and cathode since electro-osmosis necessarily requires a gradient difference, e.g., negative and positive. Zamierowski also teaches a control system connected to a power source, which would inherently have a power switch to activate the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the removal means of Zamierowski in the device of Karami because selecting a specific type of removal means would be a mere design to one of ordinary skill in the art, especially since the removal means taught by Karami and the removal means taught by Zamierowski perform the same function of removing fluids from the wound area.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 39 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-23, 26-29, 34-36, 40, 41, 55 and 60-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The new grounds of rejection is still in response to the amendment filed on 12/12/05.

Therefore, this action is made FINAL.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,820

Art Unit: 3731

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

de

ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER